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Introduction

The world has changed. The way politics is taught at school and in adult education hasn’t. Starting from this basic problem the following chapters will outline a new approach to teaching politics.

This approach tries to introduce multi-level governance to classrooms by taking policies (and not polities) as starting points.

If globalization is about dismantling of borders, the “Policy Approach” - as it might be labelled - tries to find a way to teach politics in a globalized world.

Its aim is to bridge the gap between political science and politics in the classroom. While the world has changed rapidly since the end of the Cold War and political science did its best to adjust to the new situation, politics in the classroom wasn’t able to keep pace.

Scope of the approach

Civic education and democracy education and EDC (Education for Democratic Citizenship) and ESD (Education for Sustainable Development) and HRE (Human Rights Education) and numerous other approaches in this field all share crucial elements (that is why the differences between them are not always easy to tell).

And all these approaches deal with a great variety of important topics ranging from community-oriented social learning to national political participation.

The Policy Approach to be introduced here focuses on a relatively small part of civic education: on teaching politics in schools and adult education. Basic knowledge about politics is (still) part of the core curriculum in all European countries. It belongs to the things educated people (should) know and it is a precondition for some more ambitious goals of civic education.

Focusing on teaching politics as part of the cognitive dimension of civic education nevertheless does not mean that this is considered to be more important than other topics, objectives or dimensions.

The limited scope of the new approach also means that nothing will be said about tasks and objectives of civic or democracy education such as social skills, media or intercultural competencies - to name but a few.

Basic problem

Democracy education developed (once upon a time in the West) in and for nation-states, when nation-states were the unrivalled centres of politics.

Since then the world of politics has changed: other actors (international organizations, NGOs, transnational corporations) and levels (European, transatlantic, global) have become more and more important.

But democracy education is still largely bound to nation-states and their political systems. Teaching is still based on the national model of democracy, although political scientists point out that - at least for European countries - a national political system cannot be understood or analyzed without taking the EU into account.
More than two thirds of “national” decision-making is shaped by Brussels. This doesn’t mean that all these laws are made by Brussels exclusively but it means that they are made by the multi-level system of the EU.

Functional differentiation in the EU multi-level system means for example that institutions and levels of the system work together completely differently depending on the issue at stake.

And it is the EU bargaining at the WTO meetings where regulations for international trade are issued - to give only one example for politics on the global level.

So what is the use of telling students that democracy means that we elect a parliament which elects a government which makes binding decisions for us all without telling them that there is much more to the picture than meets the (national) eye?

And what is the use of having separate teaching units for the political system of one’s own country, for God’s own country, for the European Union and the United Nations when in fact they work together in decision-making. This leads to polity-centred teaching informing students and adult learners about the (single) institutional system of the EU which is misleading in the best case and simply wrong in most others.

Two of the most important features of politics in the 21st century are complexity and functional differentiation. And both are striking features of the EU being considered as a laboratory and model for politics in the 21st century.

So the European Parliament by now plays a major role in a lot of EU policies but its influence in the Common Foreign and Security Policy is limited. And there are more examples to illustrate the point that there is no single and simple model for EU decisions.

Dealing with globalization in the classroom leads to similar problems. There is no single “globalization” but functional differentiation with regard to dimensions or areas of society, culture, politics, economy etc.

So how can we deal with complex topics like EU or globalization in the classroom in a more appropriate way? It is exactly this question the Policy Approach tries to answer.

Basic idea of the approach

Taking policies instead of polities as starting points for teaching politics is the basic idea of the Policy Approach. Up to now, typical curricula throughout Europe look like the one shown in the graph. Different polities are treated separately.
This traditional approach makes it difficult to get an appropriate picture of politics in the globalized world where foreign and domestic policy are intermingled, traditionally important categories won't fit any more and borders are dismantled.3

It's next to impossible to teach the EU system as a whole given the fact that decision-making varies greatly between different policy areas. And there is simply no way to teach the “Political system of [Germany]” successfully without referring to the European level in every other sentence. So why teach them separately? Why not forget about politics and systems for a while and think of policies first?

While the guiding question of traditional approaches is: how does the EU system work?, the Policy Approach asks: how does policy-making in a policy area look like?

3 Dimensions: Polity - Politics - Policy
“A distinction is drawn between the three following political dimensions: Polity, politics and policy. Polity is taken to mean the formal dimension of politics, that is, the structure of norms, the way in which procedures are regulated and the institutions in which politics takes place. Politics means the procedural dimension, or rather the decision-making processes, the settling of conflicts and the enforcing of goals and interests. This dimension encompasses several of the classic issues associated with political science (who is able to enforce their interests?; what mechanisms are in place for regulating conflict?; etc.). And finally policy is the substance-based dimension of politics and refers to solving problems and fulfilling tasks by the administrative system drawing on decisions that are binding for all.”

[Ragnar Müller/Wolfgang Schumann (2003), Teaching Politics, D@dalos Online Textbook: www.dadalos.org/politik_int/politik.htm, 17.04.2008]
Implementing the approach

Let us take environmental policy as a starting point and look for a didactical perspective to deal with environmental policy which meets your crucial learning target: understanding politics in the 21st century. Therefore,

• you want learners to see that different levels are involved;
• you want learners to get an impression of exemplary political processes on each of the different levels;
• you want learners to get a glimpse of the interaction and interdependence of these levels in the political process, which also includes networks consisting of actors on different levels;
• you want learners to get acquainted with new actors like NGOs, transnational corporations or foundations.

Firstly, on your way to find a didactical perspective you will have to choose an example because it is impossible to deal with as broad a field as environmental policy. You may decide to bring climate change to the centre of the stage. There are a lot of good reasons for this, as climate change

• is something the learners might be interested in,
• is very important,
• is in the news,
• is dealt with on all levels,
• is a major playground for NGOs,
• etc.

Having chosen climate change as example there are still many possibilities for a didactical perspective. You might, for example, want to focus on the particularities of global problems and global governance. Your guiding question might be to challenge the incongruity of high pressure to cope with the problem and insufficient solutions. Characteristics of international politics, crucial conflicts (North-South, economy-ecology) and common pathologies (tragedy of the commons, prisoners’ dilemma) would play a prominent role. In this case a possible title for your unit would be: “Why is climate change not stopped?”

Taking into account that climate change calls for action on all levels including the individual level you might want to choose a different option and build your teaching unit around the guiding question: how can we save the climate? This might also be the title of your unit and would call for an interdisciplinary approach (politics, economy, geography, biology, physics).

The following graph shows possible contents for a teaching unit and gives some examples for inter-level and trans-level topics to be dealt with so that the crucial learning target can be met: understanding politics in the 21st
Teaching environmental policy / climate change – **example:**

|-global- | **polity**: UN system, world conferences (Rio 1992 & succeeding conferences, role of NGOs and experts)  
**politics**: typical processes of international policy-making (bargaining, no hierarchy, North-South cleavage etc.)  
**policy**: i.e. Kyoto Protocol |
|---|---|
|-European- | **polity/politics**: collaboration of the EU multi-level system in environmental policy; development of this policy area due to connections with EU’s internal market; role of European Commission  
**policy**: 1st Environment Action Programme; EU Emission Trading Scheme |
|National- | **polity/politics/policy**: Sustainability Strategy of the respective national government (development, implementation, international background, consultation with NGOs etc.); implementation of EU directives (i.e. Habitat) |
|Local/Regional- | regional and/or local initiatives against climate change, possibly with regard to their national, European or global (Local Agenda 21) context |
|Individual- | i.e. consumer behaviour, tourism, energy, traffic, mobility, CO2 footprint; connection with international processes (Fair Trade, contributing to the work of NGOs etc.) |

---


century which means coming to terms with multi-level policy-making, with networks and new actors.  
Such a teaching unit, of course, will take a whole term. So there would be one policy area per term. Learners would get to know multi-level decision-making in four to six different areas during their school life. This would sum up to a picture of, for example, EU policy-making which is by no means comprehensive but maybe more adequate than the one learners have after having been told how the EU institutional system works.  
In the framework of the Policy Approach, globalization and European integration are not treated as topics but as cross-cutting issues. Maybe this is the most important difference to traditional approaches.
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Summary

The paper outlines a new approach to teaching politics in a globalized world. Instead of starting with institutions and systems (polity), the Policy Approach starts with decisions and outcomes (policy). European integration and globalization are not treated as topics but as cross-cutting issues. As a result, politics in the classroom gets closer to the reality of multi-level governance. Environmental policy was chosen as an example to show what this approach might look like in practice. Main learning target is to understand (multi-level) politics in the 21st century.
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